

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,429	(09/16/2003	Christopher M. Glenna	54093US010	4543	
32692	7590	01/12/2005		EXAMINER		
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY				AHMAD, NASSER		
PO BOX 33- ST. PAUL,		33-3427		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ŕ				1772		
				DATE MAILED: 01/12/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			0,
	Application N .	Applicant(s)	(h
	10/664,429	GLENNA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nasser Ahmad	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover shet with	the correspondence address	
Period for Reply		ITH/0\ FDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	I.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 O	ctober 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ★ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 15-30 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-17 and 20-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18 and 19</u> is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			l).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	-	ceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	reived	
	2. 2.2 22. 2		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	-	lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	man atom reproducti (i 10-102)	
•			

Application/Control Number: 10/664,429 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Rejections Withdrawn

- 1. Claims 15-16, 20-23 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) a being unpatentable over Dills in view of Hammond for reasons of record in the amendment filed on October 21, 2004.
- 2. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dills in view of Hammond and McLaughlin in view of the amendment.
- 3. Claims 18-19 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dills in view of Hammond and Rosen in view of the amendment.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- **6**. Claims 15-17, 21 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the English Translation of Japanese: 7-78203.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,429 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

The English Translation relates to a tape roll (figures 1 and 2) comprising a central tape roll axis, a length of tape (6) comprising a leading end and a trailing end (3), a first side, and a second side opposite the first side. The second side of the tape is at least partially covered with pressure sensitive adhesive (PSA) and wherein, the tape is circumferentially wound about the axis until a plurality of adjacent tape layers are wound. The tape roll also comprises a tab (4) having first and second opposite ends, a first side, and a second side opposite the first side, and wherein, the second side of the tab is at least partially covered with adhesive because, as shown in the drawings, the tab is adhered to the tape surface. The adhesive portion of the tab is adhered to the first side of the tape on the penultimate layer of the roll so that the trailing end (3) of the tape overlays the tab between the two ends thereof. The upward bent portion A (figure-3) is taken to be non-adhesive portion of the tab and includes deadening layer as it exhibits non-adhesive characteristic.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 15-17 and 20-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The English Translation in view of Golub (2329527).

The English Translation, as discussed above, fails to teach that the trailing end of the tape overlays both the adhesive and the non-adhesive portions of the tab. Golub relatres to a tape roll, including a tab(13) covered with adhesive on all or part of its surface (figure-7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize Golub's teaching the trailing end of a tape which overlays a tab having adhesive and non-adhesive portions in the invention of The English Translation of the Japanese: 7-78203 with the motivation to provide for facilitating separability and unwinding of the tape roll.

As for the adhesive strength of the tape being same, less or greater, it would have been obvious, based on optimization through routine experimentation, to provide for desired separability.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 18 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art uncovered so far fails to teach or suggest that the tab is folded as recited in claim 18 or is a laminate as per claim 19.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-

Art Unit: 1772

1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. January 9, 2005.